Newton Polytopes of Fireworks Grothendieck Polynomials

Jack Chou (U. Florida) and Linus Setiabrata (MIT)

MSU C&GT Seminar

September 11th 2025






Newton Polytopes of Fireworks Grothendieck Polynomials

Jack Chou (U. Florida) and Linus Setiabrata (MIT)

MSU C&GT Seminar

September 11th 2025

Outline

1. Fireworks permutations & Grothendieck polynomials
2. Support
3. Newton Polytope
4. Layered permutations

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

3 < 6 < 7

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

3 < 6 < 7

3 1 7 5 6 4 2

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

3 < 6 < 7

3 1 7 5 6 4 2

Fireworks

The start of each decreasing run is increasing.

3 1 6 7 5 4 2

3 < 6 < 7

3 1 7 5 6 4 2

3 < 7 ≮ 6

Fireworks

Generalized pattern avoidance : 3_12 avoiding

Fireworks

Generalized pattern avoidance : 3_12 avoiding

Bell numbers B(n) : 3167542 $\longleftrightarrow$ 13|2457|6

Fireworks

Generalized pattern avoidance : 3_12 avoiding

Bell numbers B(n) : 3167542 $\longleftrightarrow$ 13|2457|6

Why are they called fireworks ?

Grothendieck Polynomials

Grothendiecks

K-theoretic analouges of Schubert polynomials

Grothendiecks

K-theoretic analouges of Schubert polynomials

$$G_w = \begin{cases} x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1} & \text{if } w = w_0 \\ \overline{\partial_i}\left(G_{ws_i}(x)\right) & \text{if } w(i) < w(i+1) \end{cases}$$

Grothendiecks

K-theoretic analouges of Schubert polynomials

$$G_w = \begin{cases} x_1^{n-1} x_2^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1} & \text{if } w = w_0 \\ \overline{\partial_i}\left(G_{ws_i}(x)\right) & \text{if } w(i) < w(i+1) \end{cases}$$


Lowest Degree : Schubert G_w

Highest Degree : Top Gro G_w^{Top}

Grothendiecks

$$\partial_i(f) = \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}}$$

$$\overline{\partial_i}(f) = \partial_i\left(f - x_{i+1} f\right)$$




Grothendiecks

$$\partial_i(f) = \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}}$$

$$\overline{\partial_i}(f) = \partial_i\left(f - x_{i+1} f\right)$$

$$G_{231} = \overline{\partial_1}\left(G_{321}\right)$$




Grothendiecks

$$\partial_i(f) = \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}}$$

$$\overline{\partial_i}(f) = \partial_i(f - x_{i+1} f)$$

$$G_{231} = \overline{\partial_1}(G_{321}) = \overline{\partial_1}(x_1^2 x_2)$$

$$= \partial_1(x_1^2 x_2 - x_1^2 x_2^2)$$



Grothendiecks

$$\partial_i(f) = \frac{f - s_i f}{x_i - x_{i+1}}$$


$$\overline{\partial_i}(f) = \partial_i(f - x_{i+1} f)$$

$$G_{231} = \overline{\partial_1}(G_{321}) = \overline{\partial_1}(x_1^2 x_2)$$

$$= \partial_1(x_1^2 x_2 - x_1^2 x_2^2)$$

$$= \frac{(x_1^2 x_2 - x_1^2 x_2^2) - (x_1 x_2^2 - x_1^2 x_2^2)}{x_1 - x_2}$$


$$= x_1 x_2$$




Grothendiecks

Combinatorial formulas:

Pipe Dreams and Bumpless Pipe Dreams



(Bergeron-Billey)



(Lam-Lee-Shimozono)


Support of Fireworks Grothendieck Polynomials

Fireworks Grothendiecks

Rothe Diagram

$D(w)$

$w = 316542$




Fireworks Grothendiecks

Rothe Diagram

$D(w)$

$w = 316542$




Fireworks Grothendiecks

Rothe Diagram

$D(w)$

$w = 316542$



Fireworks Grothendiecks


Rothe Diagram

$D(w)$

Lehmer code

$Leh(w) = [2,0,3,2,1]$

$w = 316542$




Fireworks Grothendiecks

Upward Closure of $D(w)$

$\overline{D(w)}$

$wt(\overline{D(w)}) = [4,3,3,2,1,0]$




Fireworks Grothendiecks

Thm (Mészáros - Setiabrata - St. Dizier)

For $w \in S_n$ fireworks,

$$G_w^{top} = c_w \cdot X^{wt(\overline{D(w)})}$$

$w = 316542$



$$G_w^{top} = c_w \cdot X_1^4 X_2^3 X_3^3 X_4^2 X_4^1 X_5^0$$

Support

$$\mathrm{Supp}(f) = \left\{ \alpha \;\middle|\; x^{\alpha} \text{ coeff} \neq 0 \right\}$$

Support

$$\mathrm{Supp}(f) = \left\{ \alpha \;\middle|\; x^{\alpha} \text{ coeff} \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$\beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \Rightarrow \beta \in [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})] \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)$$

Support

$$\mathrm{Supp}(f) = \left\{ \alpha \;\middle|\; x^{\alpha} \text{ coeff} \neq 0 \right\}$$

$$\beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \Rightarrow \beta \in [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})] \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)$$


Thm (C. - Setiabrata)


For w fireworks,

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathfrak{G}_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$$

Sketch Proof


Pipe Dream






Sketch Proof


Pipe Dream






Sketch Proof


Pipe Dream






Sketch Proof

Pipe Dream




Trace pipes by

double crossing rule




Sketch Proof

Pipe Dream




Trace pipes by

double crossing rule




Sketch Proof

All pipe dreams of 2413



Sketch Proof

All pipe dreams of 2413



$$G_{1_{2413}} = X_1X_2^2 + X_1^2X_2 - X_1^2X_2^2$$

Sketch Proof

$$\text{Goal: } \mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$$

Sketch Proof

Goal: $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$

Strategy: $\forall \alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$, if $\alpha_i + 1 \leq \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})_i$;

Then $\exists\, \beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$ such that

1. $\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j \neq i$
2. $\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$

Sketch Proof

$$\text{Goal: } \mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$$

Strategy: $\forall \alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$, if $\alpha_i + 1 \leq \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})_i$,

Then $\exists\, \beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$ such that

1. $\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j \neq i$
2. $\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$

Replace with →

1. $\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j < i$
2. $\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$
3. $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j > i$

Sketch Proof

$$\text{Goal: } \mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$$

Strategy: $\forall \alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$, if $\alpha_i + 1 \leq \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})_i$

Then $\exists\ \beta \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)$ such that

1. $\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\ j \neq i$
2. $\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$

Replace with →


1. $\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\ j < i$
2. $\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$
3. $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j \quad \forall\ j > i$

$[2, 3, 1, 1, 3, 1]$


↓


$[2, 3, 2, 1, 1, 0]$

Sketch Proof


Pipe Weight: 

in row 2 $\longrightarrow$ (2, 5)








Sketch Proof

Pipe Weight: 

in row 2 $\longrightarrow$ (2, 5)









Lemma: For any pipe dream, its pipe weight is a subset of $\overline{D(w)}$.

Sketch Proof

weight α




Magical Algorithm



$\beta_j = \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j < i$


$\beta_i = \alpha_i + 1$


$\beta_j \leq \alpha_j \quad \forall\, j > i$




○ indicates where we can perform "magical algorithm".


Sketch Proof







Sketch Proof














Sketch Proof














Newton Polytopes

Newton Polytopes

$$\text{Newton}(f) = \text{convex}\left(\text{Supp}(f)\right)$$

Newton Polytopes

$$\text{Newton}(f) = \text{convex}\left(\text{Supp}(f)\right)$$

f has saturated Newton Polytope (SNP) if

$$\text{Newton}(f) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \text{Supp}(f)$$

Newton Polytopes

$$\text{Newton}(f) = \text{convex}\left(\text{Supp}(f)\right)$$

f has saturated Newton Polytope (SNP) if

$$\text{Newton}(f) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \text{Supp}(f)$$

Conj. (Monical - Tokcan - Yong)

G_w has SNP.

Newton Polytopes

Cor. (C. - Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, G_w has SNP.


Newton Polytopes

Cor. (C. – Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, G_w has SNP.

Ex. $w = 1243$

$$G_w = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 - X_1X_2 - X_1X_3 - X_2X_3 + X_1X_2X_3$$




Newton Polytopes

Cor. (C. – Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, G_w has SNP.

Ex. $w = 1243$

$$G_w = X_1 + X_2 + X_3 - X_1X_2 - X_1X_3 - X_2X_3 + X_1X_2X_3$$



M-Convexity

M-Convexity

Def (Brändén-Huh)

$$f \text{ Lorentzian} = \underset{\text{M-convex}}{\text{Supp}(f)} + \underset{\text{on coefficients}}{\text{certain log-concavity}}$$

M-Convexity

Def (Brändén-Huh)



$$f \text{ Lorentzian} = \underset{\text{M-convex}}{\text{Supp}(f)} + \underset{\text{on coefficients}}{\text{certain log-concavity}}$$

$$\text{M-convexity} = \text{SNP} + \text{Generalized Permutahedron}$$

M-Convexity

Schubert Matroid Polytopes



$S = \{2,4\} \subseteq [5]$

$SM($  $) =$ 

M-Convexity

Schubert Matroid Polytopes


$S = \{2,4\} \subseteq [5]$

$SM($  $) =$ 

$P(SM(S))$ is SNP and Generalized Permutahedron


M-Convexity

Schubert Spanning Set Polytopes (SSSP)



M-Convexity

Schubert Spanning Set Polytopes (SSSP)



SSSP(s) is SNP and Generalized Permutahedron

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

1) $\mathrm{Supp}(f) + \mathrm{Supp}(g) = \mathrm{Supp}(f \cdot g)$

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

1) $\mathrm{Supp}(f) + \mathrm{Supp}(g) = \mathrm{Supp}(f \cdot g)$

2) Gen. Perm. + Gen. Perm. = Gen. Perm.

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

1) $Supp(f) + Supp(g) = Supp(f \cdot g)$


2) Gen. Perm. + Gen. Perm. = Gen. Perm.

3) $Supp(f) + Supp(g) \neq$ SNP

SNP SNP

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$



3) $\underset{\text{SNP}}{\text{Supp}(f)} + \underset{\text{SNP}}{\text{Supp}(g)} \neq \text{SNP}$

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

Generalized Permutahedron: Integer Decomposition Property

If $\alpha \in (P_1 + P_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ for some $\beta_i \in P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$

M-Convexity

Minkowski Sum $P + Q = \{p+q \mid p \in P,\ q \in Q\}$

Generalized Permutahedron : Integer Decomposition Property

If $\alpha \in (P_1 + P_2) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\alpha = \beta_1 + \beta_2$ for some $\beta_i \in P_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$

M-convex + M-convex = M-convex

M-Convexity

Thm. (Fink-Mészáros-St. Dizier)

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \sum P(SM(D(w)_i)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

M-Convexity

Thm. (Fink-Mészáros-St. Dizier)

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \sum P(SM(D(w)_i)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Thm. (C.-Setiabrata) For w fireworks,

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \sum SSSP(D(w)_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

M-Convexity

Thm. (Fink-Mészáros-St. Dizier)

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \sum P(SM(D(w)_i)) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$


Thm. (C.-Setiabrata) For w fireworks,

$$\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \sum SSSP(D(w)_i) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$$

Cor. Fireworks Grothendieck polynomials have M-convex support.


M- Convexity

D(316542)



M- Convexity


$w = 316542$



M- Convexity

$w = 316542$


$$\sum \text{Schubert Matroid Polytopes} = \text{Newton}(\mathfrak{S}_w)$$



M- Convexity

$w = 316542$

$\sum$ Schubert Spanning Set Polytopes = Newton(G_w)



Layered Permutations

Layered

Layered = Direct Sum of Long Elements

Layered

Layered = Direct Sum of Long Elements

= Fireworks + Inverse Fireworks

Layered

Layered = Direct Sum of Long Elements


= Fireworks + Inverse Fireworks

Thm. (Pechenik-Speyer-Weigandt)


$\forall w \in S_n$, $\exists!$ inverse fireworks permutation $\pi(w)$

such that $G_w^{top} = c_w \cdot G_{\pi(w)}^{top}$.


Layered




Layered





Layered







Layered









Layered

Cor. (C.-Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, $\pi(w)$ is layered and $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(G_{\pi(w)})$

Layered

Cor. (C.-Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, $\pi(w)$ is layered and $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(G_{\pi(w)})$

$w = 3\ 1\ 6\ 7\ 5\ 4\ 2$

Layered

Cor. (C.-Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, $\pi(w)$ is layered and $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(G_{\pi(w)})$

$w = 3\ 1\ 6\ 7\ 5\ 4\ 2$

$2\ 1\ 3\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4$

Layered

Cor. (C.-Setiabrata)

For w fireworks, $\pi(w)$ is layered and $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(G_{\pi(w)})$

$w = 3\ 1\ 6\ 7\ 5\ 4\ 2$

$\pi(w) = 2\ 1\ 3\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4$

Layered

Conj. (Merzon - Smirnov)

Layered permutations achieve max of $G_w(1,\cdots,1)$.

Thm. (Morales - Panova - Petrov - Yeliussizov)

Layered permutations asymptotically achieve max of $|G_w(-1,\cdots,-1)|$.

Thm. (Pechenik - Speyer - Weigandt)

Layered permutations maximizes $\deg(G_w) - \deg(\mathfrak{G}_w)$.

Conj. Layered permutations have largest support.

Thank You !!

Thm. For w fireworks,

1. $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Supp}(G_w)} [\alpha, \mathrm{wt}(\overline{D(w)})]$
2. G_w have M-convex support.
3. $\pi(w)$ is layered and $\mathrm{Supp}(G_w) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}(G_{\pi(w)})$

